

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/634,174	08/05/2003	Leonard Forbes	1303.102US1	6342
. 7590 03/15/2004			EXAMINER	
Schwegman, Lundberg, Woessner & Kluth, P.A.			TRAN, TAN N	
Attn: Marvin L. Beekman P.O. Box 2938 Minneapolis, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2826	
			DATE MAILED: 03/15/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		m			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence	10/634,174	FORBES, LEONARD			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAU INC DATE of this come	TAN N TRAN	2826			
Period for Reply	unication appears on the cover sheet wi	tn tne correspondence address			
after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for re	INICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a resommunication. y (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty a statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON eply will, by statute, cause the application to become AB as after the mailing date of this communication, even if the statute of the communication.	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. SANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on <u>05 August 2003</u> .				
2a)☐ This action is FINAL.	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
closed in accordance with the pra	ctice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims		•			
4) Claim(s) 1-45 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-45 are subject to restrict Application Papers	s/are withdrawn from consideration.				
9) The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a	re: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to I	by the Examiner.			
	ojection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	· ·			
11) The oath or declaration is objected	ing the correction is required if the drawing(
	to by the Examiner. Note the attached	TOTICE ACCOUNT OF TOTICE.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
2. Certified copies of the priori3. Copies of the certified copieapplication from the Internal		pplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	n □				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 	(PTO-948) Paper No(s	summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	`	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/634,174

Art Unit: 2826

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121.
 - I. Claims 26-35,42-45, drawn to a semiconductor device, classified in class 257, subclass 516.
 - II. Claims 1-25,36-41 drawn to a method of manufacturing a semiconductor device, classified in class 438, subclass 210.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP 806.05(f)). In the instant case, unpatentability of the Group I invention would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the Group II invention, because the device of Group I invention could be made by a process materially different from that of the Group II invention. For example, the process of claim 1 can be materially altered by using a punching press method instead of undercutting method in order to form a recess in the substrate.

In the case that Group I is elected, this group of claims has following patentably distinct species of the disclosed invention.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: the first species, Claims 26-35 drawn to a semiconductor device as shown in fig. 4E.

The second species, Claims 42-45, drawn to a semiconductor device as taught in the Specification on page 24 lines 6-24, and in fig. 10.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Application/Control Number: 10/634,174

Art Unit: 2826

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission

may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, the fields of search are not co-

extensive and separate examination would be require, restriction for examination purposes as

indicated is proper.

4. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37

FR 1.143).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner

should be directed to Tan Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-1923. The examiner can

normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (571) 272-1915. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular

communications and (703) 872-9306 for after final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

TT

Mar 2004

domblonton

Page 4

Minhloan Tran
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2826